

## **IN THE DRAWINGS**

Figs. 2 and 6 had been placed in the same paper sheet, and have been separated into two separated sheets.

One copy of the amended formal drawings, including the amended and separated Figs. 2 and 6 is enclosed herewith, as replacement sheets.

## **REMARKS**

The Official Action dated 13 April 2006 has been carefully considered, along with cited references, applicable sections of the Patent Act, Patent Rules, the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure and relevant decisional law.

The replacement drawings are objected to because:

a. they failed to include the approved changes of November 3, 2005.

b. The drawings sheets are unnumbered.

In response, the drawing Figures. 2 and 6 have been separated into two separated paper sheets. The replacement sheets of the drawing Figures. 2 and 6 are enclosed herewith.

In the approved changes of November 3, 2005, the reference numeral "224" has been inserted to indicate the pivotal hole.

In Fig. 8, the leftmost instance of reference numeral "13" has been changed to -31--.

In Fig. 9, the reference numerals to the other reference numerals different from that shown in Figs. 5-8 have also been changed.

The disclosure is objected to because in line 4 of the paragraph beginning at page 6, line 26, as presented in the amendment filed March 6, 2006, the term "rove 221" should be changed to "groove 221".

In response, the disclosure has been amended according to the Examiner's suggestions, to change the term "rove 221" into "groove 221".

Accordingly, claims 8 and 10-14 would appear to be allowable,

and early issuance of a Notice of Allowance is accordingly most respectfully solicited.

Courtesy and cooperation of Examiner BINDA are appreciated.

respectfully submitted,

By: Charles E. Baxley

CHARLES E. BAXLEY

Attorney of Record

USPTO Reg. 20,149

90 John Street – 3rd Floor

New York, N.Y. 10038

TEL: (212) 791-7200

FAX: (212) 791-7276

Date: New York, N.Y.